## TIEGCM modeling and 3D visualizations as tools for interpretation of Swarm (and other satellite) measurements

**CBK & OBSEE** 

## **TIEGCM MODEL**

Physics-based global model of the ionosphere: 100-700 km (boundaries depend on atmosphere dynamics and solar-wind state or solar-cycle phase)

Inner boundary: atmospheric tides, GSWM model

Sun/solar-wind influence: solar irradiance and magnetosphere state as dependent on solar-cycle phase

- F107 (radio emissions correlated with ionizing UV emissions)
- CTPOTEN (cross-tail potential)
- POWER (hemispheric power, auroral precipitation)

## TIEGCM AUTHORS SUGGEST:

	F107	CTPOTEN	POWER
SMIN	70	30	18
SMAX	200	60	40

## **BENCHMARK CASES**

TIEGCM simulations Study focused on  $I_{NDD} = (NE_t - NE_{t-12h})/(NE_t + NE_{t-12h})$ 

Four seasons: March equinox, June solstice, September equinox, December solstice Two sets of solar conditions: solar min and max

TIEGCM-benchmark cases are treated as sanctity: no change of parameters with respect to values recommended by code authors for simulated cases





TIEGCM, DECSOL\_SMAX, YEAR 2002, DOY 358, UT 01:00, H 480



$$\mathbf{I}_{NDD} = (NE_{t} - NE_{t-12h}) / (NE_{t} + NE_{t-12h})$$

General pattern: dayside I<sub>NDD</sub>>0 nightside I<sub>NDD</sub><0 with some lag effects in post-dawn and post-dusk regions



TIEGCM, DECSOL\_SMAX, YEAR 2002, DOY 358, UT 03:00, H 480





TIEGCM, DECSOL\_SMAX, YEAR 2002, DOY 358, UT 04:20, H 480



WSA/NPDE occurence: nightside I<sub>NDD</sub>>0 after midnight



TIEGCM, DECSOL\_SMAX, YEAR 2002, DOY 358, UT 07:30, H 480



WSA/NPDE occurrence is a transient: after-midnight  $I_{_{NDD}}$  >0 region disappears when we move west



WSA OCCURENCE IN TIEGCM MODEL (BENCHMARK CASES) COMPARISON OF SEASONS STUDY OF CONSTANT-LT MAPS AT DIFFERENT ALTITUDES SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

WSA occurrence at H>330km in solar min: March and September equinoxes

WSA occurrence at H>400km in solar max: December solstice

December solstice in solar minimum is difficult to interpret, possible occurence of WSA at H>450 km

THIS ALTITUDE DEPENDENCE SUGGESTS THAT 3D VISUALIZATIONS MAY PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INSIGHT



#### TIEGCM SIMULATION

INDEX I<sub>NDD</sub>, 3D DISTRIBUTION IN THE IONOSPHERE, SPHERE AND DISCS

> ASPECT RATIO CHANGED

YELLOW LINE SUNWARD

POINTS – SOLAR TERMINATOR

SOUTH-POLE VIEW

SUN-FIXED FRAME

ELECTRON DENSITY DISTRIBUTION IN THE IONOSPHERE

TIEGCM SIMULATION

3D VISUALIZATION SUN-FIXED FRAME EQUATOR VIEW

































north-pole view, fully consistent with classical textbook pictures







south-pole view, different convection pattern in ExB and neutral wind



# SWARM vs TIEGCM: direct comparison of the electron density



## TIEGCM MODEL: 2002-12-24 (BENCHMARK CASE: DECEMBER SOLSTICE, **SOLAR MIN**)

# SWARM vs TIEGCM: direct comparison of the electron density



## SWARM A DATA: 2018-12-24 TIEGCM MODEL: 2002-12-24 (BENCHMARK CASE: DECEMBER SOLSTICE, **SOLAR MAX**)

# SWARM-TIEGCM discrepances



TIEGCM curve (red) is very periodic as compared with measurements

Discrepancies can result from numerical dissipation and the lack of all necessary physical effects in the model, but they may also be related to fixed Sun/solar-wind conditions as a driver in modeling

TIEGCM seems to offer feeding the simulation with observed timedependent F107 and solar wind data from OMNI database (instead of setting constant CTPOTEN and POWER)

Long-run from 2002 to 2019 with time-dependent Sun/solar-wind influence?

# Thank you for your attention